

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/523,820	03/13/2000	Michael A. Fetcenko	OBC-99	6627
24963 7:	590 06/29/2004		EXAMINER	
ENERGY CO	NVERSION DEVICES	, INC.	NGUYEN	EXAMINER IGUYEN, CAM N PAPER NUMBER
2956 WATERY	VIEW DRIVE HILLS, MI 48309		ART UNIT	
ROCHESTER	nills, Mi 40307		1754	
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/523,820	FETCENKO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cam N Nguyen	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommunication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communic  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Ap</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	vance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the meri	, , ,
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1,3-30,32-55 and 90-106 is/are pends 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) Claim(s) 1,3-30 and 32-55 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 90-100 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 92-96 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Claim(s) are subjected to by the Examination of the Claim(s) filed on is/are: a) are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correstriction and Claim(s) is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the Claim(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the Claim(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the Claim(s) including the correspondence of the Claim(s) is/are is/are is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the Claim(s) is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the Claim(s) is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the Claim(s) is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the Claim(s) is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the Claim(s) is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the Claim(s) is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the Claim(s) is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the Claim(s) is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the Claim(s) is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the Claim(s) is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the Claim(s) is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the Claim(s) is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the Claim(s) is/are: a) is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the Claim(s) is/are: a)	rawn from consideration.  /or election requirement.  ner.  ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyan	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	21(4)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	<b>.</b>
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1754

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Status of an RCE Application

- 1. A request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after the final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicants' submission filed on 3/24/04 has been entered.
- 2. Applicants' remarks and amendments, filed on April 7, 2004, have been carefully considered. Claims 2, 31, & 80-89 have been canceled. New claims 103-106 have been added.

Claims 1, 3-30, 32-55, 90-100, & 103-106 are now pending in this application.

# Claim Objections

3. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 103-106 have been renumbered 101-104, respectively.

**Art Unit: 1754** 

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 90-91 & 97-100 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mesters et al., "hereinafter Mesters", (U.S Pat. 4,725,573) *in view of* Ovshinsky et al., "hereinafter Ovshinsky", (U.S Pat. 5,840,440).

Mesters discloses a catalyst containing metallic copper and nickel as active component carried on an inert refractory carrier, wherein the copper-nickel-alloy is present on the carrier in small metal particles with an average particle size of less than 14 nm (14 nm = 140 A) (see col. 11, claim 1). Suitable inert refractory carriers include zirconium dioxide and titanium dioxide (see col. 2, ln 62- col. 3, ln 2). Mesters further discloses the nickel alloyed in the metallic copper is distributed so homogeneously, that it is present in copper-nickel particles containing at most 30% by weight of metallic nickel, based on the total weight of metallic copper and metallic nickel (see col. 3, ln 43-48).

Mesters discloses a catalyst, except for the nickel alloy comprising the instantly claimed metals. It would have been *prima facie obvious* to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a known TiNi type alloy containing modifier elements which may be selected from the group consisting of Ni, Cr, Co, Mn, Mo, Nb,

Art Unit: 1754

Fe, Al, Mg, Cu, Sn, Ag, Zn, Pd, and mixtures or alloys thereof as taught by Ovshinky (see Ovshinky at col. 6, In 43-48) to make a useful catalyst in view of the advantage that the hydrogen storage materials produced by using this TiNi type alloy containing these modifer elements comprising the crystallite size of less than about 200 Angstroms and more particularly less than about 100 Angstroms (see Ovshinsky at col. 6, In 1-4), which applicants desired. Note that the claimed elements (Co, Mn, Fe, Al, Ti, and Sn) are met by the teaching of the reference because they fall within the listing of suitable modifier elements of the reference.

The instantly claimed metal particulate particle size is met by the teaching of the reference since the disclosed particle size range encompasses the claimed particle size range (see Mesters at col. 11, claim 1).

# Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1, 3-30, 32-55, & 101-104 are not being rejected the art rejection because they contain allowable subject matter. The following is a statement of reason(s) for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest a catalyst powder or particle comprising a nickel or nickel alloy particulate having average particle size of between about 10 and about 70 Angstroms <u>and in combination with</u> the requirement of the particulate dispersed in a support matrix (as recited in claims 1 & 30).

There is no motivation to combine the teachings of the references together.

**Art Unit: 1754** 

7. Claims 92-96 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest a catalyst <u>requiring</u> a metal particulate having an average particle size having the claimed ranges <u>and in</u> <u>combination with the requirement</u> of the nickel alloy comprising at least one element selected from the group consisting of Al, Co, Sn, Mn, Ti, and Fe (as recited in claim 90).

### Response to Amendment/Arguments

8. Applicants' amendment/response filed on 4/07/04 has been fully considered, but not deemed persuasive for the following reasons.

It is considered the rejection over Mesters <u>in view of</u> Ovshinsky is still applicable under a 103 for claims 90-91 & 97-100 because Mesters discloses a catalyst containing metallic copper and nickel (which is the claimed nickel alloy) carried on an inert refractory carrier, wherein the copper-nickel-alloy is present in small metal particles with an average particle size of less than 14 nm (14nm = 140A) (see Mesters at col. 11, claim 1). Applicants claiming an average particle size of less than about 100 Angstroms, which falls within the disclosed average particle size range of the reference.

9. This is an RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/523,820. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had

Art Unit: 1754

been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Citations

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 Form prepared attached. All references are cited for related art.

#### Conclusion

11. Claims 1, 3-30, 32-55, & 90-104 are pending in the application. Claims 90-92 & 97-100 are rejected. Claims 93-96 are objected. Claims 1, 3-30, 32-55, & 101-104 are allowed.

Art Unit: 1754

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Cam Nguyen, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1357. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:30 am. to 6:00 pm.

The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to telephone number (571) 272-1700.

Cam Nguyen

**Primary Examiner** 

**Art Unit: 1754** 

Nguyen/cnn CM

June 28, 2004